

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,682 03/30/2004		Randall L. Redman	006593-1970 4022		
33375 7:	590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
THOMPSON HINE LLP			GHATT, DAVE A		
2000 COURTHOUSE PLAZA N.E. 10 WEST SECOND STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45402-1758			2854		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3 /	•
------------	---

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)			
			82	REDMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	xaminer Art Unit				
		Dave A. 0	Ghatt	2854			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF T FR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and v statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION I rent, however, may a reply be the vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the collection to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the control of the contr			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠	•	This action is	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	lowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	☐ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,10,11,14,23 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 4-7,9,12,13,15 and 24-27 is/are	objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election i	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[7]	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen			»П. · · -	(070.415)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 11, 14, 23, and 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martinez et al. (US 5,997,192). As illustrated in Figure 1, Martinez et al. teaches a printer 10 for printing between a print roller 30 and a print head 16. Figure 2 shows a print head alignment system including a print roller assembly 6 having a print roller 30, mounted thereon and at least one stop 6B. Figure 2 also shows a print head support assembly 14 having a print head 16 mounted thereon. Figure 2 also shows at least one detent (the tip of element 7), a surface of said detent engaging a surface of said stop 6B to maintain alignment of said print head 16 with the print head roller 30. Figure 1 shows an actuating assembly (shaft 1) associated with the print head support assembly 14 and the print roller assembly 6 for movement thereof so as to back the stop 6B away from the detent (the tip of 7) before moving the print head support assembly 14 so as to provide an access space between the print head and the print roller for allowing print media to be removed and inserted.

With respect to claim 2, Martinez et al. teaches the actuating assembly 1 connected to move the print head support assembly 14 and operates to back the detent (the tip of 7) off of the

Art Unit: 2854

stop 6B before moving at least one side of the print head 16 away from the print roller 30 to provide the access space.

With respect to claim 3, as shown in Figure 1, Martinez et al. teaches the print head support assembly including a pivot frame (arm 14) pivotally attached toward one end to pivot about a pivot axis 17.

With respect to claim 8, Martinez et al. teaches a stop 12 located below a first side of said print head support assembly to limit downward movement of an inner side of the print head 16 away from the print roller 6 while an outer side of the print head moves to create the access space.

With respect to claim 10, as shown in Figure 2, as shown in Figure 2, Martinez et al. teaches the surface of the detent (tip of element 7) and the surface of the stop surface 6B are engaged in a manner that permits the print head 16 to float relative to the print roller 30 during operation when the surface of the detent moves along the surface of the stop.

With respect to claim 11, the print head support includes a biasing member 18 for urging the print head toward the print roller.

With respect to the method of claim 23, Martinez teaches a method in a printer, for disengaging a print head support assembly and a print roller assembly, wherein said print roller assembly includes at least one substantially vertical stop surface 6B engaged with at least one substantially vertical detent surface (the hook portion of element 7) of the print head support assembly (shown generally at 14) maintaining alignment of a print head 16 and a print roller 30. As shown in Figures 1-3, and as outlined in columns 3-4, the method comprises the step of (a) moving the print roller assembly in a first rotational direction to space said detent surface from said stop

Art Unit: 2854

surface 6B. Figures 1-3 also show the steps of, after step (a), moving at least one side of the print head support assembly in a second direction (vertically downwards) away from the print roller assembly to provide an access space between the print head and the print roller for allowing print media to be removed and inserted.

With respect to claim 28, because Martinez et al. teaches the first direction being rotational and the second direction being vertically downwards, the first direction is substantially perpendicular to the second direction.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 16-22 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 4-7, 9, 12, 13, 15, and 24-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 12 is indicated as having allowable subject matter because the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the total combination claimed, including spaced apart lateral guide members that interact with the lateral guide portions of the print roller assembly to orient the print head along an axis of the roller.

Response to Arguments

5. The applicant's remarks submitted August 22, 2005 have been fully considered. In view of the applicant's remarks with respect to the prior art reference to Yamada, the 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Art Unit: 2854

rejections based on Yamada have been withdrawn. Claim 12, which was previously rejected under Yamada, has now been indicated as having allowable subject matter.

With respect to the applicant's remarks concerning the prior art reference to Martinez, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant claims that the alignment of the print head 16 and the print roller 30 is not impacted by the detent spring 7 and notch 6B. However, as pointed out in column 4 lines 47-52, the cam 6 (which holds the print head) is normally held in position by leaf spring 7, which engages detent notch 6b. Consequently, the Martinez arrangement does provide at least some alignment between the printhead and the platen. This is also shown in Figure 2. Because the examiner disagrees with the applicant on this point, the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections have been maintained.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2854

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave A. Ghatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-2165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAG

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800